Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

	1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): 118-03-15
A. Project Name: Rock Creek Culvert Replacement B. Project Sponsor: Bob Bessey, Medford BLM B. Sponsors Phone: 541-618-2358 B. Sponsor's E-mail: bbessey@or.blm.gov	3. County: Josephine 5.Date: 4/29/02
3. Project Location (attach project area map)	
	ce Area: Glendale Forest Service District
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? Yes	X No
. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:	
Restore access to 1.7 miles of stream for steelhead and of pecies under virtually all streamflow conditions. 0. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project his project is located on Lower Grave Creek Josephine The existing culvert impedes migration of adult steelhead of small fish and other aquatic species because of excess the culvert outlet. The 80' long culvert would be replaced or all aquatic species under virtually all streamflow conductor commodate a 100 year flood flow, per Northwest For	ect and attach map.) e County Road on BLM-administered land. I trout and is a barrier to upstream movement sive water velocity and a drop of several feet at ad with a structure that would provide passage litions. It would also be large enough to rest Plan requirements.
1. Coordination of this project with other related p	project(s) on adjacent lands?
Yes X No, not applicable If y	es, then describe.
2. How does proposed project meet purposes of the	he Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec.	. 2(b)]
Implements stewardship objectives that enhance fores	t ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]	
Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]	

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

13.	Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]						
X	Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]		Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
	Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):		[Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
	Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]		Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]				
Χ	Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]		Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]				
X 	Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)] Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:		Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]				
Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: 14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)] a. Total Acres: b. Total Miles: _1.7 c. No. Structures: d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): e. No. of Laborer Days: 45							
	Other (specify): Duration of Project and Estimated Completion 3 weeks in July, August or						

16. Target Species Benefitted (if applicable):

The project would benefit steelhead and cutthroat trout and other aquatic species.

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Due to the highly visible nature of the project (on 1 of 2 access routes between the Grave Creek boat ramp and Interstate 5), the public would become more aware of BLM's multiple responsibilities in managing its lands. Higher awareness will hopefully encourage private and corporate landowners to enter into partnerships with BLM in order to improve fish and wildlife habitat and watershed health near their communities

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

The project would complement objectives of the Oregon Salmon Plan and help to increase production of anadromous fish, including opportunities for recreational fishing.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

Anadromous fish passage would be improved and stream sedimentation would be reduced.

20. Status of Project Planning		
a. NEPA Complete: X Yes No b.	If No, give est. date o	f completion:
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	$\mathbf{X} \square$ Yes No	Not Applicable
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	☐ Yes ☐ N	Io X Not Applicable
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	Yes X No	Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:	Yes X No	Not Applicable
g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	☐ Yes X No	Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	Yes X No	Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	Yes X No	1
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept .of Fish and V State Historic Preservation Officer	Wildlife, COE = Army Cor	rps of Engineers, SHPO =
21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment X Contract	Federal Workforce	
County Workforce	Volunteers	
Other (specify):	_	
22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materia Yes x No	als? (Sec. 204(e)(3))	

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

a.	Total County Title II Funds Requested	Э.	110,000			
b.	Is this a multi-year funding request?		Yes	Χ	No	If yes, then display by fiscal year
	c. FY02 Request: \$		f.	FY	705 R	Request: \$
	d. FY03 Request: \$		g.	FY	706 F	Request: \$
	e. FY04 Request: \$					

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
10011	[500.200(5)(1)]	[500.200(8)(1)]	[500.200(b)(1)]	Tunus
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$1,500			\$1,500
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation	\$6,400			\$6,400
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering	\$1,000	\$2,500		\$3,500
28. Contract Preparation	\$1,000	\$2,500		\$3,500
29. Contract Administration	\$1,000	\$5,000		\$6,000
30. Contract Cost		\$89,000		\$89,000
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies	\$1,000	\$1,000		\$2,000
33. Monitoring	\$1,000	\$1,000		\$2,000
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal	\$12,900	\$100,000		\$112,900
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead for A&E, BLM Overhead, etc.) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$10,000		\$10,000
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$12,900	\$110,000		\$122,900

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6)

a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

A fish biologist, hydrologist and engineer would inspect the project several times during the first winter with typical peak stream flows and periodically thereafter to evaluate if objectives have been met, including adequacy of fish passage, erosion control and to determine maintenance needs (if any).

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

The number of laborers required would be determined through the survey and design process. It will be up to the contractor to hire the number of people with appropriate skills that are needed to complete the project according to design specifications within the required time frame.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Not applicable

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$2,000

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

Rock Creek

Culvert





View of culvert outfall.



View of Lowr Grave Creek Road at site of the Rock Creek crossing.